"A Colorful Promise" Genesis 9:1-17

v. 1	Q.	What was the blessing?	
	Α.	God re-established the design for humank	kind,
		.e., to be fruitful and multiply on the earth. Cp. 1:28.	
	Q.	How is the current globalist, climate change, anthropology goi	ng
		against God's design? How is it actually bringing a curse?	
	A1.	t is trying to the earth based upon a fo	ıulty
		view of limited resources. It is actually trying to limit the populat	ion,
		o it is easier to control both people and resources.	
	A2.	t is also bringing a curse on the earth by mc	n's
		diet, by restricting where he may live, by restricting the use of th	nat
		which God provided for us to provide for those in need all in the	Э
		name of worshipping Gaia (the earth).	
vv. 2 [.]	-3	Q. What changed?	
	A.	. Our relationship with creatures becomes	
		2. Our diet is extended to include Prior to this humankind was vegetarian. Cp. 1:29	
		Note: It is well documented that a diet is to be althiest and that animal protein is, in part, the cause of many bur ailments. So, one must ask, "Why did God now allow for it?"	of of

A.	1.	Cp. Gen. 6:3. "his days will be 120 years. It is one of the ways God reduces the of a human being.
	2.	It allows God to the population of his creatures within a safe limit for both them and for humanity.
	3.	There is a post-flood change that is not prophesied here but soon becomes a reality - that God's creation becomes adversarial toward each other in varying from the greatest predator on earth and sea to the bacteria that once was beneficial but now
v. 4	Q.	What was the restriction?
	Α.	Abstain from eating/drinking
	Note:	Acts 15:19-20 [1st Church Council]
v. 5	Q.	What stayed the same? Cp. 4:11-15
	A.	There was still a to be paid for taking the life another person.
	Q.	What is connected to the blood?
	A.	
	Q.	Who is held accountable for its loss?
	A.	Whoever or whatever takes the life: or

Note: This does not establish animal but rather affirms the theological premise found in the next verse.				
v. 6	Q.	What is the new rule?		
	A.	Lex Taliones – An Eye for an Eye. Capital punishment for murder.		
	Q.	What is the difference compared to 4:23-24?		
	A.	God punished Cain by but not by killing him. God now ordains to exact the death penalty.		
	Q.	What is the theological premise against the murder of a man?		
	Α	He is made in the of God.		
	Q.	How is this different than killing an animal?		
	A.	1. It is made in the image of God.		
		2. Intentional killing for is allowed by God.		
	Note:	Nowhere in the scriptures does it support killing of animals for, i.e., to kill for the sake of killing or for trophy.		
	Q.	What does this passage communicate about the <i>Imago Dei</i> post Genesis 3-6?		
	A.	The image of God is still but by sin.		
	Q	To whom does God relegate the responsibility of human vengeance? Note: O.T. (Num. 35:6)/N.T. (Rom. 13)		
	A.			

v. 7	Q.	Is this a restatement of v.1 or is God communicating something else?
	Α.	1. It could be a
		2. It is with killing and giving emphasis to life rather than death.
		3. Rashi says that it establishes not having children with the of blood. (Not producing life)
vv. 8-	-11 Q.	What did God do next and to whom?
	Α.	He made a covenant with:
	1.	
	2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	
	Q.	What did it involve?
	A.	Anever to destroy the earth by a flood again.
vv. 12	2-17 Q.	What was the sign?
	Α.	
	Q. A.	The primary focus of this sign is on whom?

	Q.	Who is the recipient in verse 16?
	A.	
Note:	The No	oahic Covenant is the covenant for the rest
	of the	covenants in that it connects each to the creation.
	Q.	What does this passage teach us about the value of life?
	A.	Timer dees in its passage reach as about the value of the.
	Α.	
	Q.	What does it teach us about capital punishment?
	Α.	
	Q.	How does it speak into the abortion issue?
	Α.	
	_	
	Q.	How does it speak into God's authority that binds himself?
	A.	